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# Why Computing Matters (So Much)? 

## WHAT IS THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE COMPUTING INDUSTRY AND THE PAPER TOWEL INDUSTRY?

## Industry of replacement



# CAN WE CONTINUE BEING AN INDUSTRY OF NEW POSSIBILITIES? 

Personalized<br>healthcare

Virtual
reality

Real-time
translators

## Moore's Law

Or, how we became an industry of new possibilities

## Every 2 Years

- Double the number of transistors
- Build higher performance general-purpose processors
- Make the transistors available to masses
- Increase performance ( $1.8 \times \uparrow$ )
- Lower the cost of computing $(1.8 \times \downarrow)$


## What is the catch?

## Powering the transistors without melting the chip



## Looking back

## Evolution of processors



## Any Solution Moving Forward?

Hardware accelerators:


GPUs
(Graphics
Processing
Units)


FPGAs
(Field Programmable Gate Arrays)


TPUs
(Tensor
Processing
Units)

## Heterogeneity and Specialization



## Programmability versus Efficiency



We need compilers!

## Introduction to Compilers

- What would you get out of this course?
- Structure of a Compiler
- Optimization Example


## What Do Compilers Do?

1. Translate one language into another

- e.g., convert C++ into x86 object code
- difficult for "natural" languages, but feasible for computer languages

2. Improve (i.e. "optimize") the code

- e.g., make the code run 3 times faster
- or more energy efficient, more robust, etc.
- driving force behind modern processor design


# How Can the Compiler Improve Performance? 

Execution time $=$ Operation count * Machine cycles per operation

- Minimize the number of operations
- arithmetic operations, memory accesses
- Replace expensive operations with simpler ones - e.g., replace 4-cycle multiplication with 1-cycle shift
- Minimize cache misses
- both data and instruction accesses
- Perform work in parallel
- instruction scheduling within a thread

- parallel execution across multiple threads


## What Would You Get Out of This

## Course?

- Basic knowledge of existing compiler optimizations
- Hands-on experience in constructing optimizations within a fully functional research compiler
- Basic principles and theory for the development of new optimizations


## Structure of a Compiler



- Optimizations are performed on an "intermediate form"
- similar to a generic RISC instruction set
- Allows easy portability to multiple source languages, target machines


## Ingredients in a Compiler Optimization

- Formulate optimization problem
- Identify opportunities of optimization
- applicable across many programs
- affect key parts of the program (loops/recursions)
- amenable to "efficient enough" algorithm
- Representation
- Must abstract essential details relevant to optimization


## Ingredients in a Compiler Optimization



## Ingredients in a Compiler Optimization

- Formulate optimization problem
- Identify opportunities of optimization
- applicable across many programs
- affect key parts of the program (loops/recursions)
- amenable to "efficient enough" algorithm
- Representation
- Must abstract essential details relevant to optimization
- Analysis
- Detect when it is desirable and safe to apply transformation
- Code Transformation
- Experimental Evaluation (and repeat process)


## Representation: Instructions

- Three-address code

A := B op C

- LHS: name of variable e.g. $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{A}$ [ $t$ ] (address of $\mathbf{A}+$ contents of t)
- RHS: value
- Typical instructions

A := B op C
A := unaryop $B$
A := B
GOTO s
IF A relop B GOTO s
CALL $f$
RETURN

## Optimization Example

- Bubblesort program that sorts an array A that is allocated in static storage:
- an element of $\mathbf{A}$ requires four bytes of a byte-addressed machine
- elements of $A$ are numbered 1 through $n$ ( $n$ is a variable)
- A[j] is in location \&A+4* (j-1)

```
FOR i := n-1 DOWNTO 1 DO
    FOR j := 1 TO i DO
        IF A[j]> A[j+1] THEN BEGIN
        temp := A[j];
        A[j] := A[j+1];
        A[j+1] := temp
        END
```


## Translated Code

END

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { i : }=n-1 \\
& \text { S5: if i<1 goto s1 } \\
& \text { j := } 1 \\
& \text { s4: if j>i goto s2 } \\
& \text { t1 := j-1 } \\
& \text { t2 := 4*t1 } \\
& \text { t3 :=A[t2] ;A[j] } \\
& \text { t4 }:=\text { j+1 } \\
& \text { t5 := t4-1 } \\
& \text { t6 := 4*t5 } \\
& \text { t7 := A[t6] ;A[j+1] } \\
& \text { if t3<=t7 goto s3 } \\
& \text { FOR i := n-1 DOWNTO } 1 \text { DO } \\
& \text { FOR j := } 1 \text { TO i DO } \\
& \text { IF A[j]> A[j+1] THEN BEGIN } \\
& \text { temp :=A[j]; } \\
& \text { A[j] : }=A[j+1] \text {; } \\
& \text { A[j+1] := temp }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Representation: a Basic Block

- Basic block = a sequence of 3-address statements
- only the first statement can be reached from outside the block (no branches into middle of block)
- all the statements are executed consecutively if the first one is (no branches out or halts except perhaps at end of block)
- We require basic blocks to be maximal
- they cannot be made larger without violating the conditions
- Optimizations within a basic block are local optimizations


## Flow Graphs

- Nodes: basic blocks
- Edges: $B_{i}->B_{j}$, iff $B_{j}$ can follow $B_{i}$ immediately in some execution
- Either first instruction of $B_{j}$ is target of a goto at end of $B_{i}$
- Or, $B_{j}$ physically follows $B_{i}$, which does not end in an uncohditional goto.
- The block led by first statement of the program is the start, or entry node.


## Find the Basic Blocks

```
    i := n-1
S5: if i<1 goto s1
    j := 1
s4: if j>i goto s2
    t1 := j-1
    t2 := 4*t1
    t3 := A[t2] ;A[j]
    t4 := j+1
    t5 := t4-1
        t6 := 4*t5
    t7 := A[t6] ;A[j+1]
    if t3<=t7 goto s3
```

```
t8 :=j-1
t9 : = 4* t 8
temp := A[t9] ;A[j]
t10 := j+1
t11:= t10-1
t12 := 4*t11
t13 := A[t12] ;A[j+1]
t14 := j-1
t15 := 4*t14
A[t15] := t13 ;A[j]:=A[j+1]
t16 := j+1
t17 := t16-1
t18 := 4*t17
A[t18]:=temp \(\quad\) A \([j+1]:=\) temp
```

s3: j := j+1
goto S4
S2: i := i-1
goto s5
s1:

## Basic Blocks from Example

in


## Partitioning into Basic Blocks

- Identify the leader of each basic block
- First instruction
- Any target of a jump
- Any instruction immediately following a jump
- Basic block starts at leader \& ends at instruction immediately before a leader (or the last instruction)

```
{1) i = 1
    2) }j=
    3) }\textrm{t}1=10*
    4) t2 = t1 + j
    5) t3 = 8* t2
    6) t4 = t3 - 88
    7) a[t4] = 0.0
    8) }j=j+
    9) if j <= 10 goto (3)
    10) i=i + 1
    11) if i <= 10 goto (2)
    12) i = 1
    13) t5 = i - 1
    14) t6 = 88* t5
    15) a[t6] = 1.0
    16) i=i+1
    17) if i <= 10 goto (13)
A}=\mathrm{ Leader
```


## Sources of Optimizations

- Algorithm optimization
- Algebraic optimization

$$
\mathrm{A}:=\mathrm{B}+0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathrm{~A}:=\mathrm{B}
$$

- Local optimizations
- within a basic block -- across instructions
- Global optimizations
- within a flow graph -- across basic blocks
- Interprocedural analysis
- within a program -- across procedures (flow graphs)


## Local Optimizations

- Analysis \& transformation performed within a basic block
- No control flow information is considered
- Examples of local optimizations:
- local common subexpression elimination analysis: same expression evaluated more than once in b. transformation: replace with single calculation
- local constant folding or elimination analysis: expression can be evaluated at compile time transformation: replace by constant, compile-time value
- dead code elimination


## Example

```
    i := n-1
S5: if i<1 goto s1
        j :=1
s4: if j>i goto s2
    t1 := j-1
    t2 := 4*t1
    t3 \(:=A[t 2] \quad ; A[j]\)
        t4 := j+1
    t5 \(:=\) t4-1
    t6 \(:=4 *\) t5
    t7 :=A[t6] ;A[j+1]
    if t3<=t7 goto s3
```

```
    t8 :=j-1
t9 : = 4* t 8
temp :=A[t9] ;A[j]
t10 := j+1
t11:= t10-1
t12 := 4* t11
\(\mathrm{t} 13:=\mathrm{A}[\mathrm{t} 12] ; \mathrm{A}[\mathrm{j}+1]\)
t14 \(:=j-1\)
t15 := 4*t14
A[t15] := t13 ;A[j]:=A[j+1]
t16 := j+1
t17 := t16-1
    t18 := 4*t17
A \([\mathrm{t} 18]:=\) temp \(; A[j+1]:=\) temp
s3: j := j+1
    goto S4
S2: i := i-1
    goto s5
s1:
```


## Example

B1: i : $=\mathrm{n}-1$
B2: if i<1 goto out
B3: j :=1
B4: if j>i goto B5
B6: t1 : = j-1
t2 : = 4*t1
$t 3:=A[t 2] \quad ; A[j]$
t6 $:=4$ *j
t7 $:=A[t 6] \quad ; A[j+1]$
if $\mathrm{t} 3<=\mathrm{t} 7$ goto B8

```
B7: t8 :=j-1
    t9 : = \(4 *\) t8
    temp \(:=\mathrm{A}[\mathrm{t9}]\); temp: \(=A[\mathrm{j}]\)
    七12 := 4*j
    t13 : = A[t12] \(\quad A[j+1]\)
    A[t9]:= t13 \(; A[j]:=A[j+1]\)
    A[t12]:=temp \(; A[j+1]:=\) temp
B8: j : = j+1
    goto B4
B5: i := i-1
        goto B2
out:
```


## (Intraprocedural) Global Optimizations

- Global versions of local optimizations
- global common subexpression elimination
- global constant propagation
- dead code elimination
- Loop optimizations
- reduce code to be executed in each iteration
- code motion
- induction variable elimination
- Other control structures
- Code hoisting: eliminates copies of identical code on parallel paths in a flow graph to reduce code size.


## Example

B1: i : $=\mathrm{n}-1$
B2: if i<1 goto out
B3: $j:=1$
B4: if $j>i$ goto B5
B6: t1 : = j-1
t2 : $=4$ * t 1
$t 3:=A[t 2] \quad ; A[j]$
七6 $:=4 * j$
$\mathrm{t7}:=\mathrm{A}[\mathrm{t} 6] \quad ; A[j+1]$
if t3<=t7 goto B8

```
B7: t8:=j-1
    t9 := 4*t8
    temp := A[t9] ; temp:=A[j]
    t12 := 4*j
    t13 := A[t12];A[j+1]
    A[t9]:= t13 ;A[j]:=A[j+1]
    A[t12]:=temp ;A[j+1]:=temp
B8: j := j+1
    goto B4
B5: i := i-1
    goto B2
out:
```


## Example (After Global CSE)

```
B1: i := n-1
B2: if i<1 goto out
B3: j := 1
B4: if j>i goto B5
B6: t1 := j-1
t2 := 4*t1
t3 := A[t2] ;A[j]
t6 := 4*j
t7 := A[t6] ;A[j+1]
if t3<=t7 goto B8
```

```
B7: A[t2] := t7
A[t6] := t3
```

B8: j : = j+1
goto B4
B5: i := i-1
goto B2
out:

## Induction Variable Elimination

- Intuitively
- Loop indices are induction variables (counting iterations)
- Linear functions of the loop indices are also induction variables (for accessing arrays)
- Analysis: detection of induction variable
- Optimizations
- strength reduction:
- replace multiplication by additions
- elimination of loop index:
- replace termination by tests on other induction variables


## Example

| B1: i := n-1 <br> B2: if i<1 goto out |  | B7: A[t2] := t7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A[t6] := t3 |
| B3: j := 1 | ; ${ }^{\text {[ }}$ ] | B8: ${ }^{\text {j }}:=\mathrm{j}+1$ |
| B4: if j>i goto B5 |  | goto B4 |
| B6: t1 : $=j-1$ |  | B5: i := i-1 |
| t2 $:=4 * t 1$ |  | goto B2 |
| t3 $:=\mathrm{A}[\mathrm{t} 2]$ |  | out: |
| t6 $:=4 * \mathrm{j}$ |  |  |
| t7 := A [t6] | ; ${ }^{[1]+1]}$ |  |
| if t3<=t7 goto |  |  |

## Example (After IV Elimination)

```
B1: i := n-1
B2: if i<1 goto out
B3: t2 :=0
    t7 :=A[t6] ;A[j+1]
    if t3<=t7 goto B8
```

    B7: A[t2] := t7
    \(A[t 6]:=t 3\)
    B8: \(\begin{aligned} & t 2:=t 2+4 \\ & t 6:=t 6+4\end{aligned}\)
    B8: \(\quad \begin{array}{ll}t 2 & := \\ t 2+4 \\ t 6 & := \\ t 6+4\end{array}\)
    goto B4
    B5: i :=i-1
    goto B2
    out:

## Loop Invariant Code Motion

- Analysis
- a computation is done within a loop and
- result of the computation is the same as long as we keep going around the loop
- Transformation
- move the computation outside the loop


## Machine Dependent Optimizations

- Register allocation
- Instruction scheduling
- Memory hierarchy optimizations
- etc.


## Local Optimizations (More Details)

- Common subexpression elimination
- array expressions
- field access in records
- access to parameters


## Graph Abstractions

Example 1:

- grammar (for bottom-up parsing): E -> E + T|E-T|T, T-> T*F|F,F-> (E)|id
- expression: $a+a *(b-c)+(b-c)^{*} d$



## Graph Abstractions

Example 1: an expression

$$
a+a *(b-c)+(b-c) * d
$$

Optimized code:
t1 $=\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{c}$
t2 $=\mathrm{a}$ * t 1
t3 $=\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{t} 2$
t4 $=\mathrm{t} 1^{*}$ d
$\mathrm{t} 5=\mathrm{t} 3+\mathrm{t} 4$


## How well do DAGs hold up across statements?

DAG - directed acyclic graph

- Example 2

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a=b+c ; \\
& b=a-d ; \\
& c=b+c ; \\
& d=a-d ;
\end{aligned}
$$



Is this optimized code correct?
$\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{b}+\mathrm{c}$;
$d=a-d ;$
$c=d+c ;$

## Critique of DAGs

- Cause of problems
- Assignment statements
- Value of variable depends on TIME
- How to fix problem?
- build graph in order of execution
- attach variable name to latest value
- Final graph created is not very interesting
- Key: variable->value mapping across time
- loses appeal of abstraction


## Value Number: Another Abstraction

- More explicit with respect to VALUES, and TIME
(static)
Variables
(dynamic)
Values

- each value has its own "number"
- common subexpression means same value number
- var2value: current map of variable to value
- used to determine the value number of current expression $r 1+r 2$ => var2value( $r 1$ )+var2value( $r 2$ )


## Algorithm

```
Data structure:
    VALUES = Table of
        expression //[OP, valnum1, valnum2}
        var //name of variable currently holding expression
For each instruction (dst = src1 OP src2) in execution order
    valnum1 = var2value(src1); valnum2 = var2value(src2);
    IF [OP, valnum1, valnum2] is in VALUES
    v = the index of expression
    Replace instruction with CPY dst = VALUES[v].var
    ELSE
    Add
        expression = [OP, valnum1, valnum2]
            var = dst
    to VALUES
    v = index of new entry; tv is new temporary for v
    Replace instruction with: tv = VALUES[valnum1].var OP VALUES[valnum2].var
                        dst = tv;
    set_var2value (dst, v)
```


## More Details

- What are the initial values of the variables?
- values at beginning of the basic block
- Possible implementations:
- Initialization: create "initial values" for all variables
- Or dynamically create them as they are used
- Implementation of VALUES and var2value: hash tables


## Example

Assign: a->r1,b->r2,c->r3,d->r4

$$
a=b+c
$$

ADD $\mathrm{t1}=\mathrm{r} 2, \mathrm{r} 3$
CPY $\mathrm{r} 1=\mathrm{t} 1$
b $=a-d ;$
SUB t2 $=r 1, r 4$
CPY r2 $=$ t2
$c=b+c ; \quad A D D t 3=r 2, r 3$
CPY r3 $=$ t3
$d=a-d ;$
SUB t4 = r1,r4
CPY r4 = t4

## Conclusions

- Comparisons of two abstractions
- DAGs
- Value numbering
- Value numbering
- VALUE: distinguish between variables and VALUES
- TIME
- Interpretation of instructions in order of execution
- Keep dynamic state information
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